FLORENT RENAUD STRASBOURG OBSERVATORY

BENDS OF THE RIVER(S) OF GALAXY FORMATION





Artist rendition by Nic Risinger -



Artist rendition by Nic Risinger







younger



















smaller

















smaller



















NOT SO FAST ...





NOT SO FAST ...













Elmegreen et al. (2009)



New Horizon cosmo simulation Dubois et al. (2021)





New Horizon cosmo simulation Dubois et al. (2021)





New Horizon cosmo simulation Dubois et al. (2021)





Turbulence is the main driver of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation (not the gas fraction, not the mass ...)



New Horizon cosmo simulation Dubois et al. (2021)





Turbulence is the main driver of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation (not the gas fraction, not the mass ...)



Also supported by analytical theories (Renaud et al. 2012)



New Horizon cosmo simulation Dubois et al. (2021)





Also supported by analytical theories (Renaud et al. 2012)

Ask me about this! Intrinsic and environmental evolution of the sources of turbulence with redshift Renaud et al. (2021, 2022)



Turbulence is the main driver of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation (not the gas fraction, not the mass ...)



New Horizon cosmo simulation Dubois et al. (2021)





Turbulence is the main driver of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation (not the gas fraction, not the mass ...)

Also supported by analytical theories (Renaud et al. 2012)



```
instabilities
                                               Ask me about this!
Intrinsic) and environmental evolution of the
sources of turbulence with redshift
Renaud et al. (2021, 2022)
```



New Horizon cosmo simulation Dubois et al. (2021)





Turbulence is the main driver of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation (not the gas fraction, not the mass ...)

Also supported by analytical theories (Renaud et al. 2012)



```
instabilities
                         mergers
                                               Ask me about this!
Intrinsic) and environmental) evolution of the
sources of turbulence with redshift
Renaud et al. (2021, 2022)
```



New Horizon cosmo simulation

Dubois et al. (2021)

 $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$













Turbulence is the main driver of the Kennicutt-Schmidt relation (not the gas fraction, not the mass ...)

Also supported by analytical theories (Renaud et al. 2012)

```
instabilities
                         mergers
                                               Ask me about this!
Intrinsic) and environmental) evolution of the
sources of turbulence with redshift
Renaud et al. (2021, 2022)
```









































DARK MATTER







VINTERGATAN Agertz, Renaud et al. (2021) Renaud, Agertz et al. (2021a,b)









GAS





MILKY WAY

Z = 612.9 GYR AGO















Major mergers trigger starbursts (= short depletion time)



Major mergers trigger starbursts (= short depletion time)

- Tidal + turbulent compression
- shocks, cloud-cloud collisions
- nuclear inflows

see Renaud et al. (2019) at low redshift



Major mergers trigger starbursts (= short depletion time)

- Tidal + turbulent compression
- shocks, cloud-cloud collisions
- nuclear inflows

see Renaud et al. (2019) at low redshift



Major mergers trigger starbursts (= short depletion time)

- Tidal + turbulent compression
- shocks, cloud-cloud collisions
- nuclear inflows

see Renaud et al. (2019) at low redshift

But not at very high redshift...



Major mergers trigger starbursts (= short depletion time)

- Tidal + turbulent compression •
- shocks, cloud-cloud collisions
- nuclear inflows

see Renaud et al. (2019) at low redshift

But not at very high redshift...





Major mergers trigger starbursts (= short depletion time)

- Tidal + turbulent compression
- shocks, cloud-cloud collisions
- nuclear inflows

see Renaud et al. (2019) at low redshift

But not at very high redshift...





Major mergers trigger starbursts (= short depletion time)

- Tidal + turbulent compression
- shocks, cloud-cloud collisions
- nuclear inflows

see Renaud et al. (2019) at low redshift

But not at very high redshift...

Before organized motions are in place: no coherent response to interactions





RAPID CHEMICAL ENRICHMENT

Starburst = short timescale of star formation


Starburst = short timescale of star formation





Starburst = short timescale of star formation Faster than the enrichment in Fe from type-1a SNe







Starburst = short timescale of star formation Faster than the enrichment in Fe <prom type-1a SNe</pre>

 α increases, Fe ~ constant







Starburst = short timescale of star formation Faster than the enrichment in Fe . from type-1a SNe

 α increases, Fe ~ constant

 \rightarrow Starbursts temporarily boost [α /Fe]







 α increases, Fe ~ constant

 \rightarrow Starbursts temporarily boost [α /Fe]





 α increases, Fe ~ constant

 \rightarrow Starbursts temporarily boost [α /Fe]



(Segovia Otero et al, 2022)



 α increases, Fe ~ constant

 \rightarrow Starbursts temporarily boost [α /Fe]



(Segovia Otero et al, 2022)





 α increases, Fe ~ constant

 \rightarrow Starbursts temporarily boost [α /Fe]



major mergers





 α increases, Fe ~ constant

 \rightarrow Starbursts temporarily boost [α /Fe]

Transition after the last major merger $(z \sim 1)$



major mergers





$[\alpha/Fe]$ BIMODALITY IN THE REAL MILKY WAY



See also Haywood et al. 2013, Recio-Blanco et al. 2014, Hayden et al. 2015, Nidever et al. 2015, Bovy et al. 2016, Rojas-Arriagada et al. 2017, Silva-Aguirre et al. 2018, Haywood et al. 2018, Feuillet et al. 2019, Di Mateo et al. 2019, Ciuca et al. 2022 and many others



$[\alpha/Fe]$ BIMODALITY IN THE REAL MILKY WAY

thick disk



See also Haywood et al. 2013, Recio-Blanco et al. 2014, Hayden et al. 2015, Nidever et al. 2015, Bovy et al. 2016, Rojas-Arriagada et al. 2017, Silva-Aguirre et al. 2018, Haywood et al. 2018, Feuillet et al. 2019, Di Mateo et al. 2019, Ciuca et al. 2022 and many others



$[\alpha/Fe]$ BIMODALITY IN THE REAL MILKY WAY

thick disk



See also Haywood et al. 2013, Recio-Blanco et al. 2014, Hayden et al. 2015, Nidever et al. 2015, Bovy et al. 2016, Rojas-Arriagada et al. 2017, Silva-Aguirre et al. 2018, Haywood et al. 2018, Feuillet et al. 2019, Di Mateo et al. 2019, Ciuca et al. 2022 and many others





















In gas-rich disks:

the molecular gas changes the instability regime





$$f_{\rm gas} = 40\%$$









In gas-rich disks:

the molecular gas changes the instability regime

Transition clump-driven











In gas-rich disks:

the molecular gas changes the instability regime

Transition clump-driven → disk-driven (Toomre)









In gas-rich disks:

the molecular gas changes the instability regime











In gas-rich disks:

the molecular gas changes the instability regime



Clarke et al. (2019), Khoperskov et al. (2021)









In gas-rich disks:

the molecular gas changes the instability regime



Similar signatures at major mergers ... conspiracy!

not really...











Renaud et al. (2024)





Renaud et al. (2024)





Renaud et al. (2024)





Renaud et al. (2024)





Renaud et al. (2024)



Clumps in gas-rich (high-z) disks follow Larson's-like scaling relations





Renaud et al. (2024)



Clumps in gas-rich (high-z) disks follow Larson's-like scaling relations

... but with increased range and scatter





Renaud et al. (2024)



Clumps in gas-rich (high-z) disks follow Larson's-like scaling relations

... but with increased range and scatter





Renaud et al. (2024)



Clumps in gas-rich (high-z) disks follow Larson's-like scaling relations

... but with increased range and scatter





Renaud et al. (2024)





Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have: - high SFRs







Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have:

- high SFRs
- short depletion times









Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have:

- high SFRs
- short depletion times
- high SFEs









Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have:

- high SFRs
- short depletion times
- high SFEs

Large scatters for "normal" clumps ($\Delta_M \approx 0$)









Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have:

- high SFRs
- short depletion times
- high SFEs

Large scatters for "normal" clumps ($\Delta_M \approx 0$)

as in *z*~0 disks e.g. Grisdale et al. (2019) Heyer er al. (2016), Lee et al. (2016)













Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have:

- high SFRs
- short depletion times
- high SFEs

Large scatters for "normal" clumps ($\Delta_M \approx 0$)

as in *z*~0 disks e.g. Grisdale et al. (2019) Heyer er al. (2016), Lee et al. (2016)

Tighter relations for outliers












DIFFERENT REGIMES OF STAR FORMATION IN EXTREME CLUMPS



Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have:

- high SFRs
- short depletion times
- high SFEs

Large scatters for "normal" clumps ($\Delta_M \approx 0$)

as in *z*~0 disks e.g. Grisdale et al. (2019) Heyer er al. (2016), Lee et al. (2016)

Tighter relations for outliers more common at high redshift













DIFFERENT REGIMES OF STAR FORMATION IN EXTREME CLUMPS



Renaud et al. (2024)

Clumps with an excess of mass (for their size) have:

- high SFRs
- short depletion times
- high SFEs

Large scatters for "normal" clumps ($\Delta_M \approx 0$)

as in *z*~0 disks e.g. Grisdale et al. (2019) Heyer er al. (2016), Lee et al. (2016)

Tighter relations for outliers more common at high redshift

Extreme clumps = formation sites of globular clusters? Claeyssens et al. (2023, 2024)























Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)





Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)







Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)







Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)







Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)







ZOOM-INS

Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)







ZOOM-INS

Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)















Sparke & Sellwood (1987)

Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)















Sparke & Sellwood (1987)

Why do expensive cosmological simulations with complex physics fail at reproducing what the runs from the 80s did?

Simulations: Kraljic et al. (2012), Fragkoudi et al. (2020), Peschken et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2020), Rosas-Guevara et al. (2022), Cavanagh et al. (2022), Reddish et al. (2022)





No continuous dependence on the gas fraction...









No continuous dependence on the gas fraction...

... but a different regime appears below ~20% reminds you of something?

Link with disk (large scale) vs. clump (small scale) instability regimes?





No continuous dependence on the gas fraction...

... but a different regime appears below ~20% reminds you of something?

Link with disk (large scale) vs. clump (small scale) instability regimes?

Role of internal dynamics At which scale(s)?

Athanassoula et al. (2013), Verwilghen et al., (2024)





Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation Star formation relations are driven by turbulence, which evolves with redshift



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation Star formation relations are driven by turbulence, which evolves with redshift Mergers do not trigger starbursts before the disk is in place



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation Star formation relations are driven by turbulence, which evolves with redshift Mergers do not trigger starbursts before the disk is in place The gas fraction sets the regime of instability in disks



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation Star formation relations are driven by turbulence, which evolves with redshift Mergers do not trigger starbursts before the disk is in place The gas fraction sets the regime of instability in disks giant clumps at $f_{\rm gas} > 20 \%$ spirals later



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation Star formation relations are driven by turbulence, which evolves with redshift Mergers do not trigger starbursts before the disk is in place The gas fraction sets the regime of instability in disks giant clumps at $f_{\rm gas} > 20 \%$ spirals later

Gas clumps follow universal scaling relations ... but with more outliers at high redshift



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation Star formation relations are driven by turbulence, which evolves with redshift Mergers do not trigger starbursts before the disk is in place The gas fraction sets the regime of instability in disks giant clumps at $f_{gas} > 20 \%$ spirals later Gas clumps follow universal scaling relations ... but with more outliers at high redshift Outliers host extreme conditions for star (cluster?) formation



Cosmic evolution of the "normal regime" of galaxy formation Star formation relations are driven by turbulence, which evolves with redshift Mergers do not trigger starbursts before the disk is in place The gas fraction sets the regime of instability in disks giant clumps at $f_{\rm gas} > 20 \%$ spirals later Gas clumps follow universal scaling relations ... but with more outliers at high redshift Outliers host extreme conditions for star (cluster?) formation Understanding bar formation is the next big challenge!

